



## Mesa County Regional Transportation Planning Office

### **GVRTC Minutes for March 23, 2021**

**Phone:** Greg Caton, Tim Valdez, Dana Brosig

**Roll Call:** Scott McInnis, Chair – Mesa County, called the meeting to order. Phyllis Norris – City of Grand Junction, Lori Buck – City of Fruita, and Greg Mikolai – Town of Palisade are present.

**Call Meeting to Order:** 3:01p.m.

**Changes to the Agenda:** No changes

#### **Consent Item(s)**

The Consent Agenda is intended to allow the Committee to spend its time on more complex items. These items are generally perceived as non-controversial and can be approved by a single motion. The public or Committee Members may ask that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda for individual consideration.

None

#### **Individual Consideration Item(s)**

Please limit public comment to a maximum of five (5) minutes per speaker (unless otherwise restricted by the Chair).

1. Approval of a recommendation to the Mesa County Board of County Commissioners to approve a revenue contract with Greyhound – Dana Brosig, RTPO
  - Attachment

Brosig explained what was included in the contract including ticket sales and pick-up, sending and receiving packages, weighing/tagging baggage, and customer service. Greyhound will continue stopping at the North side of the GVT Downtown Operations Facility. Compensation will be received at \$137/day(\$50,000/year) + commission on packages and some related fees.

Chair McInnis had concerns on Section D. Release and wanted to make sure legal counsel comments were incorporated. Brosig confirmed the changes were incorporated in the version sent out.

Representative Norris had concerns regarding the amount of work involved in this contract and if Transdev had the expertise/staff to do this. Have they handled this amount of cash? Do they have the skills to do the accounting? Do they have sufficient space in the office for the equipment? How much cash are we talking about? Mesa County is responsible if tickets are lost.

Brosig replied that Transdev already does this work with GVT with sales of tickets and passes. The same staff and space will be used for Greyhound work and there is sufficient space in the current office to perform these additional duties.

There were concerns regarding C.4. Baggage express and the statement that "Contractor shall provide or arrange package pickup and delivery services at rates prevailing the Contractor's local market." McInnis asked if we were required to do this. Valdez stated that they use a courier service for this but that we could do this, if desired, to earn additional revenue.

Tim Valdez- Greyhound Area Manager explained that the ticket sales and accounting is done online. Currently, weekly cash is about \$200, the rest is credit card sales or sales through their app. The only thing that has a value that Mesa County would be responsible for are the luggage tags. Passengers are charged \$20 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> bag with payment indicated by the luggage tag. Brosig stated that if needed, we could keep some of the luggage tags securely in our office.

Representative Norris was concerned with E.1 Contractor Responsibility and if we are able to secure this information. Valdez explained that ticket information is stored on the hard drive of the computer used and just requires a firewall and anti-virus software. Brosig explained that Transdev must secure its data for GVT as well as they are storing paratransit ridership information.

Representative Norris had concerns with E.5- Audit Rights and stated that Greyhound does have a right to audit our files. Valdez explained that most of the transactions are online and both parties can see those reports. Most of the audits involve the luggage tags.

Representative Norris had concerns on E.6-Remitting Money to Company. Will funds be deposited daily? Paragraph needs to change to monthly.

Questions regarding security of the shed. Brosig explained that the shed would be placed such that existing cameras will capture the shed. Representative Buck asked if we needed to have insurance in case parcels were stolen from the shed, as we don't know the value of the items being shipped. Valdez explained that the maximum value given to a parcel is \$100. If client wants more insurance, they have to pay for it and then Greyhound would cover the loss.

Representative Norris would like to have Transdev on the call to discuss implementation of this contract.

A motion was made to continue this item to make changes to the contract for review by the GVRTC and have Transdev on the next call. Motion was moved by Representative Mikolai and seconded by Representative Buck.

**Meeting Adjourned:** 4:50p.m.: Minutes submitted by Dana Brosig.